**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**

**SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION No. 1580 of 2006**
**to**
**SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION No. 1583 of 2006**
**with**
**SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION No. 1585 of 2006**
**with**
**SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION No. 1586 of 2006**

**For Approval and Signature:**

**HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH**

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

**JAGDISHBHAI NANUBHAI TEKRAWALA - Applicant(s)**
**Versus**
**NIRANJAN DHAYABHAI CHOWKSHI, & 1 - Respondent(s)**

==========

**Appearance :**

MS VARSHA BRAHMBHATT for Applicant(s) : 1,
MR JA ADESHRA for Respondent(s) : 1,
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for Respondent(s) : 2,

==========

**CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH**

**Date : 07/08/2007**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

In all these petitions, the respective petitioners have challenged the order passed by the learned trial Court rejecting the applications submitted by the petitioners by which the petitioners have prayed directing the original complainant to produce certain documents as well as the particulars with regard to other complaints filed by and against the complainant.

Considering the affidavit-in-reply, most of the documents are already produced and annexed with the affidavit-in-reply and Ms Brahmbhatt, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the respective petitioners has not disputed the same. Thereafter, on production of those documents, the grievance of the petitioners would not survive. So far as the prayer of the respective petitioners directing the original complainant to furnish the particulars and/or produce the copies of the complaints filed by and/or against the original complainant is concerned, it will be open for the petitioners to submit the same before the learned trial Court at an appropriate stage and the same shall be considered and dealt with in accordance with law and on its own merits and even considering the impugned orders passed by the learned trial Court, the right of the respective petitioners are not closed and the learned trial Court has dismissed the application at this stage.

With this, the present petitions are disposed of. Rule discharged in each of the petition. Ad interim relief granted earlier stands vacated

forthwith.

Office is directed to send the writ of vacating the ad interim relief to the learned trial Court immediately.

(M.R. Shah, J.)

shekhar/-